

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
087689,518	08709796	HUUSE	.T	4183.40861

IM71/0811

ANDREW D SORENSEN
MERCHANT GOULD SMITH EDELL
WELTER & SCHMIDT
1000 NORWEST CENTER 55 EAST FIFTH STREET
ST PAUL MN,55101

EX	AMINEH
DAVIS, J	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1771	12
	/ 7

DATE MAILED:

08/11/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/689,518

Examiner

Group Art Unit

House

1771 Jenna Davis



TH	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) [X] expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on $5/5/98$ has been considered with the following effect, t is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The proposed amendment(s):
	□ will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. *
	X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: That the adhesive is a mastic raises a new issue not previously considered.
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The obviousness-type double patenting rejection.
X	The obviousness-type double patenting rejection.
	The obviousness-type double patenting rejection. Newly proposed or amended claims 26-29 and 34-37 would be allowable if submitted in a
	Newly proposed or amended claims 26-29 and 34-37 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	Newly proposed or amended claims 26-29 and 34-37 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	Newly proposed or amended claims 26-29 and 34-37 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	Newly proposed or amended claims
	Newly proposed or amended claims 26-29 and 34-37 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None
	Newly proposed or amended claims 26-29 and 34-37 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None
	Newly proposed or amended claims 26-29 and 34-37 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None
	Newly proposed or amended claims
	Newly proposed or amended claims 26-29 and 34-37 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 26-41 The proposed drawing correction filed on